







Root traits as drivers of plant and ecosystem functioning

Grégoire T. Freschet CForBio presentation 2022-04-28



Insights from a large collaborative project





Community resources

A starting guide to root ecology: strengthening ecological concepts and standardising root classification, sampling, processing and trait measurements

Grégoire T. Freschet^{1,2} (b), Loïc Pagès³ (b), Colleen M. Iversen⁴ (b), Louise H. Comas⁵ (b), Boris Rewald⁶ (b), Catherine Roumet¹ (b), Jitka Klimešová⁷ (b), Marcin Zadworny⁸ (b), Hendrik Poorter^{9,10} (b), Johannes A. Postma⁹ (b), Thomas S. Adams¹¹, Agnieszka Bagniewska-Zadworna¹², A. Glyn Bengough^{13,14} (b), Elison B. Blancaflor¹⁵ (b), Ivano Brunner¹⁶ (b), Johannes H. C. Cornelissen¹⁷, Eric Garnier¹, Arthur Gessler^{18,19} (b), Sarah E. Hobbie²⁰, Ina C. Meier²¹ (c), Liesje Mommer²² (b), Catherine Picon-Cochard²³, Laura Rose^{2,24} (b), Peter Ryser²⁵ (b), Michael Scherer-Lorenzen²⁶ (c), Nadejda A. Soudzilovskaia²⁷ (b), Alexia Stokes²⁸, Tao Sun²⁹, Oscar J. Valverde-Barrantes³⁰ (b), Monique Weemstra¹ (b), Alexandra Weigelt³¹ (b), Nina Wurzburger³² (b), Larry M. York³³ (b), Sarah A. Batterman^{34,35}, Moemy Gomes de Moraes³⁶, Štěpán Janeček³⁷ (b), Hans Lambers³⁸ (b), Verity Salmon³⁹ (b), Nishanth Tharayil⁴⁰ (b) and M. Luke McCormack⁴¹ (b)

> Freschet *et al.* (2021) New Phytologist 232: 973–1122

Viewpoints			
- 17 - 18 - 190			
Root traits as driver ecosystem function understanding, pitf research needs			
Grégoire T. Freschet ^{1,2} *, Catherine Roumet ² , Louise H. Comas ³ , Monique Weemstra ² , A. Glyn Bengough ^{4,5} , Boris Rewald ⁶ , Richard D. Bardgett ⁷ , Gerlinde B. De Deyn ⁸ , David Johnson ⁷ , Jitka Klimešová ⁹ , Martin Lukac ^{10,11} , M. Luke McCormack ¹² , Ina C. Meier ^{13,14} , Loïc Pagès ¹⁵ , Hendrik Poorter ^{16,17} , Iván Prieto ¹⁸ , Nina Wurzburger ¹⁹ , Marcin Zadworny ²⁰ , Agnieszka Bagniewska-Zadworna ²¹ , Elison B. Blancaflor ²² , Ivano Brunner ²³ , Arthur Gessler ^{24,25} , Sarah E. Hobbie ²⁶ , Colleen M. Iversen ²⁷ , Liesje Mommer ²⁸ , Catherine Picon-Cochard ²⁹ , Johannes A. Postma ¹⁶ , Laura Rose ¹ , Peter Ryser ³⁰ , Michael Scherer-Lorenzen ³¹ , Nadejda A. Soudzilovskaia ³² , Tao Sun ³³ , Oscar J. Valverde-Barrantes ³⁴ , Alexandra			

Freschet *et al.* (2021) New Phytologist 232: 1123–1158

Key challenges in root ecology

1. Difficulty to define a common, unambiguous language to accurately communicate among disciplines of root science

2. Uneven quality and reproducibility of trait measurement methods

3. Relatively superficial understanding of root trait ecological meaning

4. Difficulty to navigate the high number of technical and practical choices associated with both lab and field studies

Key challenges in root ecology

5. Current focus on few easily measured root traits, to the detriment of a wider range of traits

6. Isolation of the many different disciplines of root ecology

7. Poor accounting of spatial and temporal variability of root traits

8. Lack of explicit approach to scale-up root traits to ecosystem functioning

Main objectives

1. A guide of root ecology

2. A synthesis of root traits – ecosystem functioning relationships



Resource acquisition	Resource protection and re-use	Cycling of elements
Plant N acquisition	Plant nutrient and C conservation	Ecosystem C cycling
Plant P acquisition	Plant protection against pathogens and herbivory	Ecosystem N cycling
	Plant resistance to uprooting	
Plant water acquisition	Plant resistance to and avoidance of drought	Ecosystem P cycling
	Plant regeneration	Bedrock weathering
	Plant storage	

Plant tolerance to waterlogging







What is the relative 'representation' of the trait categories?



What are the traits most often connected to functioning?

SRL?

RNC?

Root diameter?

RTD?

What are the traits most often connected to functioning?



Take home message

Below-ground traits with the widest importance in plant and ecosystem functioning are not necessarily those that are the most commonly measured.

The relevance of commonly measured (soft) traits to plant and ecosystem functioning is often indirect and insubstantial, or requiring further testing.

Important traits belong to a range of categories representing a diversity of research fields

Interdisciplinary approaches are needed to adequately capture the plant effects on ecosystem functioning

Organism diversity – ecosystem functioning



Van der Plas et al. 2019 Biol. Rev.



How small parts of a system do affect the system as a whole

Root level (10⁻¹ m)



Up-scaling

Organism selection

Trait selection

Data acquisition

Data synthesis

Temporal and spatial scale of sampling

Modelling approach

• • •

Organism selection

1) Focusing on one type of organism only (if possible, the most important one for functioning)

2) Using multitrophic frameworks

1) Focusing on a priori important species

2) Focusing on dominant species

Trait selection

- 1) Focusing on a range of easily measurable traits (potentially with low connection to the function)
 - 2) Targeting a smaller range of 'hard' traits (with more direct connection to the function)

- 1) Targeting traits based on univariate explanatory power
- 2) Targeting traits on a priori knowledge of trait inter-relationships and nonoverlapping predictive power

Example of trait inter-relationships



Freschet et al. 2021 New Phyt.

Trait acquisition

- 1) Use of databases (local, regional, global: uneven data and meta-data coverage)
 - 2) On-site measurements (include to some extent intraspecific trait variation)

Approaches to synthetize trait data

(across multiple coexisting organisms)

1) Mean field approaches (assume that organisms contribute proportionally to their own weight in the community)

2) Diversity-explicit approaches (includes metrics of the shape of trait distribution: e.g. mean, variance, skewness, kurtosis)

Temporal scale of sampling

- 1) One measurement in time (e.g. peak of productivity in summer for plants)
- 2) Several measurements (e.g. across seasons, measurements of interannual differences, ...)

Spatial scale of sampling

- 1) Include one well-identified spatial scale
 - 2) Include several spatial scales

1) Spatial averaging (ecosystem functioning is the average of all small-scale functioning)

2) Spatially-explicit sampling of ecosystem sub-units (ecosystem functioning can be provided by few sub-units)

Statistical/modelling approach

1) Using statistical models (univariate, multivariate, structural equation modelling...)

2) Construct more complex models (such as process-based models)

Take home message

Up-scaling is a critical approach to fill in the gap between the small-scale mechanistic understanding of reduced systems and large-scale integrative, but mostly descriptive assessments.

Complex models are built up from simple models. There are many complementary approaches where less labour intensive choices on the one hand is needed to explore more complexity in another aspect.

'Model-experiment' integration (or Mod-Ex) as a way forward: combines current empirical understanding with model conceptualization, parameterization, and validation in an iterative process to improve model representation of the natural world.

The reverse approach of down-scaling has sometimes been used successfully, starting from the observation of major differences in functioning between systems, and tracking back the causes to individual organisms and traits.

